

EXCITATIONS PROPAGATING ALONG SURFACES

A. V. STOYANOVSKY

This paper is a development of the note [1]. The purpose of the paper is to deduce a number of equations which describe excitations propagating along n -dimensional surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Usual excitations in wave theory propagate along one-dimensional trajectories. In the present paper the role of the medium of propagation of excitations is played by the infinite dimensional space of $(n-1)$ -dimensional surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^N$. The role of rays is played by n -dimensional solution surfaces of a variational problem. Such a generalization of wave theory can be useful in quantum field theory [2].

The paper consists of three sections. In §1 the formula for variation of action given by an n -dimensional integral is recalled. In §2 analogs of the Hamilton–Jacobi equation and of the canonical Hamilton equations are deduced. Besides that, the analogs of the canonical Hamilton equations are identified with the equations of characteristics for the analog of the Hamilton–Jacobi equation. The analog of the Hamilton–Jacobi equation for n -dimensional variational problems has been found in particular cases by many authors, see, for example, [3] and references therein. The book [3] also contains a theory of integration of the analog of the Hamilton–Jacobi equation, but the equations of characteristics are written in [3] in a form somewhat different from that of the present paper. In §3 an analog of the wave Schrodinger equation is deduced, and an analog of the transport equation is written out in the first order of quasiclassical approximation. Besides that, the Cauchy problem for the analog of the Hamilton–Jacobi equation is formulated and solved.

1. THE FORMULA FOR VARIATION OF ACTION

1.1. The main formula. Consider the variational problem for the integral

$$(1) \quad J = \int_D F(x^1, \dots, x^n, z^1, \dots, z^m, z_{x^1}^1, \dots, z_{x^n}^m) dx^1 \dots dx^n.$$

Here $x^1, \dots, x^n$ are independent variables, $z^1, \dots, z^m$ are dependent variables, $z_{x^j}^i = \frac{\partial z^i}{\partial x^j}$, and integration goes over an n -dimensional surface D with boundary ∂D in $\mathbb{R}^{m+n}$.

The Euler–Lagrange equations for the functions $z^i(x)$ read

$$(2) \quad F_{z^i} - \sum_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} F_{z_{x^j}^i} = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Here F_{z^i} and $F_{z_{x^j}^i}$ denote the partial derivatives of the function F with respect to the corresponding arguments.

Assume that for each $(n-1)$ -dimensional parameterized surface C in $\mathbb{R}^{m+n}$ given by the equations

$$(3) \quad x^j = x^j(s^1, \dots, s^{n-1}), \quad z^i = z^i(s^1, \dots, s^{n-1})$$

and sufficiently close to a fixed $(n-1)$ -dimensional surface, there exists a unique n -dimensional surface D with boundary $\partial D = C$ which is an extremal of the integral (1), i.e., the graph of a solution to the Euler–Lagrange equations (2). Denote by $S = S(C)$ the value of the integral (1) over the surface D . The goal of this section is to deduce the well known formula for variation of the functional S .

To this end, let us represent the integral (1) in the parametric form with the parameters $s^1, \dots, s^{n-1}, t$, assuming that the boundary ∂D corresponds to one or several fixed values of the variable t . We obtain

$$(4) \quad J = \int_D F(x^1(s, t), \dots, x^n(s, t), z^1(s, t), \dots, z^m(s, t), \\ \frac{\partial(z^1, x^2, \dots, x^n)}{\partial(t, s^1, \dots, s^{n-1})} : \frac{\partial(x^1, \dots, x^n)}{\partial(t, s^1, \dots, s^{n-1})}, \dots, \\ \frac{\partial(x^1, \dots, x^{n-1}, z^m)}{\partial(t, s^1, \dots, s^{n-1})} : \frac{\partial(x^1, \dots, x^n)}{\partial(t, s^1, \dots, s^{n-1})}) \\ \frac{\partial(x^1, \dots, x^n)}{\partial(t, s^1, \dots, s^{n-1})} dt ds,$$

where $\frac{\partial(x^1, \dots, x^n)}{\partial(s^1, \dots, s^n)} = \left| \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial s^i} \right|$ is the Jacobian, $ds = ds^1 \dots ds^{n-1}$. Denote the integrand in the latter integral by $\Phi(x^j, z^i, x_{s^k}^j, z_{s^k}^i, x_t^j, z_t^i)$. Then we have (omitting the summation sign over repeating indices)

$$(5) \quad \delta J = \int_D (\Phi_{x^j} \delta x^j + \Phi_{z^i} \delta z^i + \Phi_{x_{s^k}^j} \delta x_{s^k}^j + \Phi_{x_t^j} \delta x_t^j + \Phi_{z_{s^k}^i} \delta z_{s^k}^i + \Phi_{z_t^i} \delta z_t^i) dt ds \\ = \int_D \left[\left(\Phi_{x^j} - \frac{\partial}{\partial s^k} \Phi_{x_{s^k}^j} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Phi_{x_t^j} \right) \delta x^j + \left(\Phi_{z^i} - \frac{\partial}{\partial s^k} \Phi_{z_{s^k}^i} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Phi_{z_t^i} \right) \delta z^i \right] dt ds \\ + \int_D \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial s^k} (\Phi_{x_{s^k}^j} \delta x^j + \Phi_{z_{s^k}^i} \delta z^i) + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\Phi_{x_t^j} \delta x^j + \Phi_{z_t^i} \delta z^i) \right] dt ds.$$

It is not difficult to see that the first integral here vanishes due to the Euler–Lagrange equations (2). And the second integral equals, by the Stokes theorem,

$$(6) \quad \delta S = \int_{\partial D} \left(\Phi_{x_t^j} \delta x^j + \Phi_{z_t^i} \delta z^i \right) ds.$$

Hence

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\delta S}{\delta z^i(s)} &= p^i(s), \\ \frac{\delta S}{\delta x^j(s)} &= -H^j(s), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} p^i &= \Phi_{z_t^i} = \sum_l (-1)^{l+1} F_{z_{x^l}^i} \frac{\partial(x^1, \dots, \widehat{x^l}, \dots, x^n)}{\partial(s^1, \dots, s^{n-1})}, \\ H^j &= -\Phi_{x_t^j} = \sum_{l \neq j} (-1)^{l+1} F_{z_{x^l}^i} z_{x^j}^i \frac{\partial(x^1, \dots, \widehat{x^l}, \dots, x^n)}{\partial(s^1, \dots, s^{n-1})} \\ &\quad + (-1)^{j+1} (F_{z_{x^j}^i} z_{x^j}^i - F) \frac{\partial(x^1, \dots, \widehat{x^j}, \dots, x^n)}{\partial(s^1, \dots, s^{n-1})}. \end{aligned}$$

Here the cap over a variable means that the variable is omitted; the summation sign over the index i repeated twice is omitted. Note that in the formula for H^j , the coefficients before the Jacobians coincide up to sign with the components of the energy-momentum tensor. Also note that the quantities p^i and H^j depend on the numbers x_t^j , z_t^i only through the numbers $z_{x^j}^i$ characterizing the tangent space to the n -dimensional surface D .

Thus, we obtain the formula

$$(9) \quad \delta S = \int_C \left(\sum p^i \delta z^i - \sum H^j \delta x^j \right) ds$$

analogous to the formula for the differential of the action function in classical mechanics. This formula easily implies the Noether theorem, as in classical mechanics.

1.2. The properties of the quantities p^i and H^j . It is easy to see that the quantities p^i and H^j satisfy the relations

$$(10) \quad p^i z_{s^k}^i - H^j x_{s^k}^j = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

and

$$(11) \quad p^i z_t^i - H^j x_t^j = \Phi.$$

The latter equation is just the Euler theorem on homogeneous functions, since the function Φ is homogeneous of degree 1 in the variables z_t^i, x_t^j .

Let us call by the tangent element the data consisting of an $(n-1)$ -dimensional surface C and a family of n -dimensional planes tangent to the surface at each point of the surface. These planes can be characterized by mn variables $z_{x^j}^i$ satisfying $m(n-1)$ equations

$$(12) \quad z_{x^j}^i x_{s^k}^j = z_{s^k}^i, \quad k = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

On the other hand, these planes or, more precisely, the dual conormal lines can be characterized by $m+n$ variables p^i, H^j subject to $(n-1)$ equations (10). One passes from the former set of variables to the latter one by the duality transform (8).

A count of parameters shows that the quantities p^i, H^j should satisfy one more equation. To write it explicitly, assume for a moment that the function Φ of the variables x_t^j, z_t^i is convex, so that it yields a norm on the quotient space of the space of vectors (z_t^i, x_t^j) by the transformations

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} x_t^j &\rightarrow x_t^j + \sum a_k x_{s^k}^j, \\ z_t^i &\rightarrow z_t^i + \sum a_k z_{s^k}^i \end{aligned}$$

with arbitrary a_k . Denote by $\mathcal{H}$ the norm on the dual space of vectors (p^i, H^j) satisfying relations (10), i.e., for arbitrary (p^i, H^j) satisfying (10) put

$$(14) \quad \mathcal{H}(p^i, -H^j) = \max_{\Phi(z_t^i, x_t^j)=1} p^i z_t^i - H^j x_t^j.$$

Then it is not difficult to deduce the following relation between the quantities p^i, H^j (8):

$$(15) \quad \mathcal{H}(p^i, -H^j) - 1 = 0.$$

The function $\mathcal{H}$ is determined as a function of $m+n$ variables not uniquely, but only up to adding a product of any function with the left-hand side of one of equations (10). In the general case of not necessarily convex function Φ , let us mean by equation (15) the n -th equation for the quantities p^i, H^j .

Using the n equations (10) and (15), one can (in general) express the quantities H^j as functions of p^i (and of $x^l, z^i, x_{s^k}^l, z_{s^k}^i$):

$$(16) \quad H^j = H^j(x^l, z^i, x_{s^k}^l, z_{s^k}^i, p^i), \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

The change of variables (8), from mn variables $z_{x^j}^i$ subject to $m(n-1)$ equations (12) and from the function F to m variables p^i and to n

functions H^j (16), can be called the modified Legendre transform. Up to notations it coincides with the usual Legendre transform. Indeed, if instead of the variables $z_{x^j}^i$ we consider m variables z_t^i , then the function $H^j x_t^j$ of the variables p^i will be the (usual) Legendre transform of the function Φ , see formulas (8) and (11). Therefore, since the Legendre transform is a duality, we obtain the formulas

$$(17) \quad z_t^i = z_{x^j}^i x_t^j = (H^j x_t^j)_{p^i} = H_{p^i}^j x_t^j.$$

Besides that, if we differentiate equations (10) with respect to the variable p^i , then we obtain the system of equations

$$(18) \quad z_{s^k}^i = z_{x^j}^i x_{s^k}^j = H_{p^i}^j x_{s^k}^j, \quad k = 1, \dots, n-1$$

which, together with (17), gives

$$(19) \quad z_{x^j}^i = H_{p^i}^j.$$

1.3. Geometric optics in the space of $(n-1)$ -dimensional surfaces. The above formulas become more clear if one has in mind the following geometric picture. Consider the space whose points C are $(n-1)$ -dimensional parameterized surfaces (3) in $\mathbb{R}^{m+n}$. Consider propagation of light in this space by the Fermat principle, the time of propagation of light along a trajectory $C(t)$, $t_0 \leq t \leq t_1$, being given by the n -dimensional integral (4). Let us call by the light rays the trajectories $C(t)$ for which integral (4) takes a stationary value. Here one has the following essential difference with usual geometric optics: there are not one but infinitely many rays passing through two given points. These rays correspond to various parameterizations of n -dimensional solution surface D of the variational problem.

Let us fix a point C_0 , and denote by $S(C)$ the time of propagation of light from the point C_0 to the point C . Let us call a level hypersurface $S(C) = T$ of the function S by a wave front emitted by the point C_0 at the time T , and denote this front by $W(T)$. Then it is natural to assume that the following Huyghens principle holds: the wave front $W(T + \Delta T)$ is the common tangent hypersurface to the fronts emitted by the points of the wave front $W(T)$ at the time ΔT (which may be called spheres of radius ΔT). Here again one meets the following difference with usual geometric optics. At a given point of the front $W(T + \Delta T)$, there are infinitely many spheres tangent to the front. These spheres correspond to partitions of the surface D of “square” $T + \Delta T$ into two pieces of “squares” T and ΔT . Similarly, each sphere is tangent to the front $W(T + \Delta T)$ at infinitely many points.

Now if we take ΔT infinitely small, then we obtain the following picture. Consider a sphere of an infinitely small radius dT with the

center at a point C of the front $W(T)$. Then the function S achieves its maximum at the intersection points of the sphere with the rays passing through the points C_0 and C . Hence the tangent hyperplane to the wave front at the point C is parallel to the tangent hyperplane to the sphere at any of these intersection points. In particular, the slope of the tangent hyperplane does not depend on a concrete point of the sphere, and depends only on the tangent planes to the surface D (the tangent element, see Subsection 1.2). From these considerations one can deduce formulas (7,8).

2. ANALOGS OF HAMILTON'S FORMULAS

2.1. Analog of the Hamilton–Jacobi equation. Substituting (7) into equations (10,15) or into (16), we obtain

$$(20) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\delta S}{\delta z^i(s)} z_{s^k}^i + \frac{\delta S}{\delta x^j(s)} x_{s^k}^j &= 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, n-1, \\ \mathcal{H} \left(\frac{\delta S}{\delta z^i(s)}, \frac{\delta S}{\delta x^j(s)} \right) - 1 &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

or

$$(21) \quad \frac{\delta S}{\delta x^j(s)} + H^j \left(x^l, z^i, x_{s^k}^l, z_{s^k}^i, \frac{\delta S}{\delta z^i(s)} \right) = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

The system of equations (20) or (21), relating the variational derivatives of the functional S at the same point s , can be naturally called the analog of the Hamilton–Jacobi equation. The first $n-1$ equations of the system (20) correspond to the fact that the function S does not depend on the parameterization of the surface C .

Example (the scalar field in two-dimensional space-time) [1]. Let $F(x, y, z, z_x, z_y) = \frac{1}{2}(z_x^2 - z_y^2) + p(z)$, where $p(z) = \frac{m^2}{2}z^2 + \dots$ is a polynomial in z . A calculation gives the following analog of the Hamilton–Jacobi equation:

$$(22) \quad \begin{aligned} x_s \frac{\delta S}{\delta x} + y_s \frac{\delta S}{\delta y} + z_s \frac{\delta S}{\delta z} &= 0, \\ \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(\frac{\delta S}{\delta z} \right)^2 + z_s^2 \right) + (x_s^2 - y_s^2) p(z) + x_s \frac{\delta S}{\delta y} + y_s \frac{\delta S}{\delta x} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

2.2. Analogs of the canonical Hamilton equations. Let us assume again that the surface D is parameterized by the coordinates $s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}, t$, and let us find the equations expressing the dependence of the variables p^i, z^i on t , assuming that the dependence of x^j on (s, t) is given.

To this end, consider the integral

$$(23) \quad \int \int (p^i z_t^i - H^j x_t^j) ds dt$$

as a functional of functions p^i, z^i , in which H^j is the function (16), and let us write the Euler–Lagrange equations for this functional. Varying p^i , we obtain equation (17). It implies that the function $p^i z_t^i - H^j x_t^j$ is the Legendre transform of the function $H^j x_t^j$ with respect to the variables p^i . Hence it coincides with the function Φ defined in §1. Hence the Euler–Lagrange equations for the integral (23) are equivalent to the Euler–Lagrange equations for the function Φ and therefore to the Euler–Lagrange equations (2). It remains to vary the integral (23) with respect to z^i , which yields the following form of the field equations:

$$(24) \quad \begin{aligned} z_t^i &= \frac{\delta}{\delta p^i(s)} \int H^j x_t^j(s) ds, \\ p_t^i &= -\frac{\delta}{\delta z^i(s)} \int H^j x_t^j(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

These equations can be naturally called analogs of the canonical Hamilton equations.

2.3. Analogs of the canonical Hamilton equations as the equations of characteristics for the analog of the Hamilton–Jacobi equation. The analog of the Hamilton–Jacobi equation can be written in the form (9), where H^j are the functions (16). In this form, the analog of the Hamilton–Jacobi equation is a system of equations for the coordinates $p^i(s), H^j(s)$ of the tangent hyperplane to the graph of a solution S . At a fixed point $(x^j(s), z^i(s), S)$ of the graph, this system gives a family of tangent hyperplanes parameterized by functions $p^i(s)$. The common tangent hypersurface to this family is the analog of the Monge cone (see [4], Ch. II). It is given by the equations obtained by taking the variational derivative of equation (9) with respect to $p^i(s)$, i.e.,

$$(25) \quad z_t^i(s) = H_{p^i}^j x_t^j(s),$$

where $z_t^i = \frac{\delta z^i}{\delta t}$, $x_t^j = \frac{\delta x^j}{\delta t}$. These are equations (17) forming the first half of the analogs of the canonical Hamilton equations.

Let us call a 4-tuple of functions $(x^j(s), z^i(s), p^i(s), H^j(s))$, where $H^j(s) = -\frac{\delta S}{\delta x^j(s)}$, $p^i(s) = \frac{\delta S}{\delta z^i(s)}$, by an integral element of a solution to the analog of the Hamilton–Jacobi equation. An integral element gives a point C and the coordinates of the tangent hyperplane to the graph of the solution at this point. Assume we are given a one-parameter

family of integral elements $(x^j(s, t), z^i(s, t), p^i(s, t), H^j(s, t))$ of a given solution S . Let us call the family focal (as in the usual theory of the Hamilton–Jacobi equation) if the analog (25) of the Monge equations holds. Then one must also have the equations

$$\begin{aligned}
 (26) \quad p_t^i &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\delta S}{\delta z^i(s)} = \int x_t^j(s') \frac{\delta^2 S}{\delta z^i(s) \delta x^j(s')} ds' + \int z_t^{i'}(s') \frac{\delta^2 S}{\delta z^i(s) \delta z^{i'}(s')} ds' \\
 &= - \int x_t^j(s') \frac{\delta}{\delta z^i(s)} H^j(s', p^{i'}(z, x)) ds' + \int H_{p^{i'} x_t^j}^j(s') \frac{\delta}{\delta z^i(s)} p^{i'}(s') ds' \\
 &= - \frac{\delta}{\delta z^i(s)} \int H^j x_t^j(s') ds',
 \end{aligned}$$

where in the last integral the functions H^j are considered as functions of independent variables z^i, p^i . The latter computation is somewhat formal but it is not difficult to make it rigorous.

Thus, a focal family of integral elements belongs to the graph of a solution S only if equations (25,26), i.e., the analogs of the canonical Hamilton equations, hold. If we pass from integral elements (x^j, z^i, p^i, H^j) to tangent elements $(x^j, z^i, z_{x^j}^i)$, then the last condition means that this family of tangent elements covers a surface $z = z(x)$ which is the graph of a solution to the Euler–Lagrange equations (2). So the analogs of the canonical Hamilton equations can be naturally called the equations of characteristics for the analog of the Hamilton–Jacobi equation. And solution surfaces of the Euler–Lagrange equations (2) can be called analogs of rays.

3. ANALOG OF THE SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION

3.1. Analog of the Schrödinger equation. Suppose that the functions H^j (16) are polynomials in the variables p^i . Let us make the following substitution in the analog (21) of the Hamilton–Jacobi equation:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (27) \quad \frac{\delta S}{\delta x^j(s)} &\rightarrow -ih \frac{\delta}{\delta x^j(s)}, \\
 \frac{\delta S}{\delta z^{i'}(s)} &= p^{i'} \rightarrow -ih \frac{\delta}{\delta z^{i'}(s)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Here i is the imaginary unit, h is a very small constant. We obtain a system of linear variational differential equations which can be naturally called the analog of the Schrodinger equation:

$$(28) \quad -ih \frac{\delta \Psi}{\delta x^j(s)} + H^j \left(x^l, z^{i'}, x_{s^k}^l, z_{s^k}^{i'}, -ih \frac{\delta}{\delta z^{i'}(s)} \right) \Psi = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Here $\Psi = \Psi(C)$ is the unknown complex-valued functional of functions $x^j(s), z^{i'}(s)$; it is assumed that in the polynomials H^j the operators $-ih \frac{\delta}{\delta z^{i'}(s)}$ stand to the right of the coefficients of the polynomial.

The system (28) can be also written in the form of type (20) if we assume that the left-hand side of equation (15) is a polynomial with respect to the variables $H^j, p^{i'}$:

$$(29) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{\delta \Psi}{\delta z^{i'}(s)} z_{s^k}^{i'} + \frac{\delta \Psi}{\delta x^j(s)} x_{s^k}^j = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, n-1, \\ & \left[\mathcal{H} \left(-ih \frac{\delta}{\delta z^{i'}(s)}, -ih \frac{\delta}{\delta x^j(s)} \right) - 1 \right] \Psi = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The first $n-1$ equations of the system (29) can be replaced by the condition that the functional $\Psi(C)$ does not depend on the parameterization of a surface C .

Example. In the example considered at the end of Subsection 2.1, we obtain the following analog of the Schrodinger equation:

$$(30) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \left(-h^2 \frac{\delta^2 \Psi}{\delta z(s)^2} + z_s^2 \Psi \right) + (x_s^2 - y_s^2) p(z) \Psi - ih \left(x_s \frac{\delta \Psi}{\delta y(s)} + y_s \frac{\delta \Psi}{\delta x(s)} \right) = 0; \\ & x_s \frac{\delta \Psi}{\delta x(s)} + y_s \frac{\delta \Psi}{\delta y(s)} + z_s \frac{\delta \Psi}{\delta z(s)} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

3.2. The quasiclassical approximation. Let us substitute into the system of equations (28) a functional of the form

$$(31) \quad \Psi(C) = a(C) e^{\frac{iS(C)}{h}}.$$

Equating to zero the principal part with respect to h , we obtain the analog (21) of the Hamilton–Jacobi equation for the functional S . Equating to zero the coefficient before h , we obtain the analog of the transport equation:

$$(32) \quad \frac{\delta a}{\delta x^j(s)} + H_{p^i}^j \left(x^l, z^{i'}, x_{s^k}^l, z_{s^k}^{i'}, p^{i'} \right) \frac{\delta a}{\delta z^i(s)} = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

where $p^i = \frac{\delta S}{\delta z^i(s)}$. This equation can be interpreted in the following way. Consider a one-parameter family of integral elements of the solution S

of the analog of the Hamilton–Jacobi equation satisfying the equations of characteristics, i.e., the analogs of the canonical Hamilton equations. Then, as shown above, the corresponding tangent elements cover an n -dimensional surface D : $z = z(x)$ which is a solution to the Euler–Lagrange equations. Also relation (19) holds. Therefore, the analog of the transport equation means that the “amplitude” $a(C)$ is constant along the one-parameter family.

Suppose that the solution to the Cauchy problem for the Euler–Lagrange equations (2) with the given tangent element for $t = t_0$ is unique. Then for any other one-parameter family passing through the same surface $C_0 = C(t_0)$, the corresponding tangent elements cover the same surface D . Suppose that the analog of the Monge equations (25) has a solution $z^i(s, t)$ for any functions $x^j(s, t)$ and the initial conditions $(x^j(s, t_0), z^i(s, t_0))$. Then any $(n - 1)$ -dimensional surface C on the surface D can be included into a one-parameter family. Hence, the integral element at the point C corresponds to the tangent element tangent to the surface D , and the value of the function S at the point C is given by the formula

$$(33) \quad S(C) = S(C_0) + \int_{D_1} \Phi \, ds dt,$$

where D_1 is the piece of the surface D bounded by the $(n - 1)$ -dimensional surfaces C_0 and C . Formula (33) follows from formulas (9),(11) and from the argument of Subsect. 2.2. We obtain that the function $a(C)$ does not depend on an $(n - 1)$ -dimensional surface C on the surface D , but depends only on the surface D itself. This is the analog of the statement from quasiclassical approximation of quantum mechanics or from short-wave limit of wave optics that the amplitude of the wave function is constant along the rays.

In [3] the sets of integral elements corresponding to all tangent elements tangent to the same solution surface D of the Euler–Lagrange equations are called the characteristics of the analog of the Hamilton–Jacobi equation. Thus, we obtain that in general for a solution S the space of surfaces C is covered by a family of pairwise non-intersecting projections of characteristics along which the function $a(C)$ is constant. The arising family of surfaces D can be called the analog of the notion of field of extremals in one-dimensional variational calculus.

3.3. The Cauchy problem for the analog of the Hamilton–Jacobi equation. Let us pose the problem to find a solution S of equations (21) which takes the given values $S(C_0) = U(C_0)$ on the

surfaces C_0 of the form

$$(34) \quad x^j(s) = b^j(s), \quad z^i(s) = a^i(s),$$

where $b^j(s)$ are the given functions, and $a^i(s)$ are arbitrary functions. To construct solution of this Cauchy problem, note that at each point C_0 one can define an integral element $p^i(s) = \frac{\delta U}{\delta a^i(s)}$, and therefore a tangent element. For a given point C let us pass through this point a projection of a characteristic, i. e., let us find C_0 such that the solution surface D of the Euler–Lagrange equations passing through the surfaces C_0 and C , would be tangent to the above constructed tangent element along the surface C_0 . Assume that such C_0 and D exist and are unique. After that let us apply formula (33).

The thus constructed function S can be obtained also using the construction of common tangent hypersurface generalizing the Huyghens principle (see 1.3). Assume that for each surface C (3) sufficiently close to a fixed $(n-1)$ -dimensional surface, and for each surface C_0 (34) sufficiently close to some other $(n-1)$ -dimensional surface, there exists a unique n -dimensional solution surface D of the Euler–Lagrange equations with the boundary $C_0 \cup C$. Denote by $I(C_0, C)$ the integral of the function Φ over the surface D . Then for each C_0 the function $S_{C_0}(C) = I(C_0, C) + U(C_0)$ is a solution of the analog of the Hamilton–Jacobi equation (full integral, cf. [1]). The graph of the function $S(C)$ is the common tangent hypersurface to the family of the graphs of the functions $S_{C_0}(C)$ for all C_0 . Indeed, the common tangent hypersurface is obtained by expressing the quantities $a_i(s)$ as functions of $x^j(s'), z^i(s')$ from the equations

$$(35) \quad S(C) = I(C_0, C) + U(C_0),$$

$$\frac{\delta I}{\delta a^i(s)} + \frac{\delta U}{\delta a^i(s)} = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

These equations imply that the function $S(C)$ coincides with the one constructed above. Besides that, its variation at the point C coincides with the variation of the function $S_{C_0}(C)$. Therefore, the function S satisfies the analog of the Hamilton–Jacobi equation, and its integral element at the point C corresponds to the tangent element tangent to the surface D .

The analog of the field of extremals for the solution S is the family of solution surfaces D of the Cauchy problem for the Euler–Lagrange equations with the given tangent elements along the surfaces C_0 . The characteristics are the varieties along which the graph of the common tangent hypersurface touches the graphs of solutions $S_{C_0}(C)$ from the full integral.

Thus, we see that the theory of integration of the Hamilton–Jacobi equation from [4], Ch. 2 can be generalized to multidimensional variational problems.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. V. Stoyanovsky, Analogs of the Hamilton–Jacobi and Schrodinger equations for multidimensional variational problems of field theory, math-ph/0208034, in: Trends in Mathematical Physics Research, C. V. Benton ed., Nova Science Publishers, N.Y., 2004, p. 205–208.
- [2] A. V. Stoyanovsky, Generalized Schrodinger equation and constructions of quantum field theory, math-ph/0301037.
- [3] P. Lévy, Problèmes concrets d’analyse fonctionnelle, Paris, 1951.
- [4] R. Courant, Partial differential equations, New York — London, 1962.

MOSCOW CENTER FOR CONTINUOUS MATHEMATICAL EDUCATION

E-mail address: `stoyan@mccme.ru`